Earth, Moon, and Planets manuscript No.
(will be inserted by the editor)

A model for the common origin of Jupiter family and Halley type comets

V.V. Emel'yanenko · D.J. Asher · M.E. Bailey

arXiv:1402.1339v1 [astro-ph.EP] 6 Feb 2014

Received: date / Accepted: date

Abstract A numerical simulation of the Oort cloud is used to explain the observed orbital distributions and numbers of Jupiter-family and Halley-type short-period comets. Comets are given initial orbits with perihelion distances between 5 and 36 au, and evolve under planetary, stellar and Galactic perturbations for 4.5 Gyr. This process leads to the formation of an Oort cloud (which we define as the region of semimajor axes $a > 1000$ au), and to a flux of cometary bodies from the Oort cloud returning to the planetary region at the present epoch. The results are consistent with the dynamical characteristics of short-period comets and other observed cometary populations: the near-parabolic flux, Centaurs, and high-eccentricity trans-Neptunian objects. To achieve this consistency with observations, the model requires that the number of comets versus initial perihelion distance is concentrated towards the outer planetary region. Moreover, the mean physical lifetime of observable comets in the inner planetary region ($q < 2.5$ au) at the present epoch should be an increasing function of the comets' initial perihelion distances. Virtually all observed Halley-type comets and nearly half of observed Jupiter-family comets come from the Oort cloud, and initially (4.5 Gyr ago) from orbits concentrated near the outer planetary region. Comets that have been in the Oort cloud also return to the Centaur ($5 < q < 28$ au, $a < 1000$ au) and near-Neptune high-eccentricity regions. Such objects with perihelia near Neptune are hard to discover, but Centaurs with characteristics predicted by the model (e.g. large semimajor axes, above 60 au, or high inclinations, above 40°) are increasingly being found by observers. The model provides a unified picture for the origin of Jupiter-family and Halley-type comets. It predicts that the mean physical lifetime of all comets in the region $q < 1.5$ au is less than ∼200 revolutions.

Keywords Comets · Oort cloud · Centaurs · Solar system formation · celestial mechanics

V.V. Emel'yanenko
Institute of Astronomy RAS, 48 Pyatnitskaya, Moscow, 119017, Russia
E-mail: vvemel@inasan.ru

D.J. Asher, M.E. Bailey
Armagh Observatory, College Hill, Armagh, BT61 9DG, United Kingdom

1 Introduction

1.1 Origin of short-period comets

Explaining the origin of short-period (SP) comets (periods $P < 200$ yr) is a long-standing problem. The main difficulty lies in the differences and apparent inconsistency between the respective numbers and orbital distributions of Jupiter-family (JF) and Halley-type (HT) comets. These we classify using the Tisserand parameter T with respect to Jupiter (Carusi et al. 1987), JF comets having $T > 2$ (and P usually below 20 years), HT comets having $T < 2$ (and P usually between 20 and 200 years). When SP comets are classified this way the number of observed HT comets is found to be less than, or at most comparable to, the number of observed JF comets (see Section 2.1 below). However, most dynamical theories of their origin from the near-parabolic flux predict a far greater proportion of HT comets (Emel'yanenko and Bailey 1998), with the overall number of observed JF comets conversely being much too large relative to the calculated number (Joss 1973; Delsemme 1973). This discrepancy is associated with the well-known fading problem for long-period comets originating in the Oort cloud and has,

at least in part, led to the idea that the two classes of SP comet may have different physical structures and different proximate sources in the present Solar system.

Thus, although there have been many advances in understanding the diverse populations of small bodies in the Solar system, neither a single source dominated by trans-Neptunian objects nor one dominated by the traditional Oort cloud near-parabolic flux at small perihelion distances seems capable of explaining the entire distribution of orbital elements of SP comets. In particular the observed JF comet inclination distribution was recognized to have too many comets at low i relative to the calculated distribution (Duncan et al. 1988; Quinn et al. 1990).

For these reasons, the majority of authors nowadays consider JF and HT comets to be physically as well as dynamically distinct classes, presumably formed in separate regions of the early Solar system and having different dynamical and physical evolutionary histories. Under this viewpoint, JF comets are often regarded as originating largely in the proto-planetary disc beyond Neptune, for example in or close to the Edgeworth-Kuiper belt (EKB). The idea that JF comets might originate in a primordial disc or 'belt' of comets located near or beyond the orbit of Neptune was investigated by a number of authors (e.g. Fernández 1980, 1982; Duncan et al. 1988; Torbett 1989; Torbett and Smoluchowski 1990; Quinn et al. 1990). The discovery of 1992 QB_1 (Jewitt and Luu 1993) and of further Edgeworth-Kuiper objects played a pivotal role in theories of the origin of SP comets, and important advances building on this evidence were made in particular by Duncan et al. (1995), Duncan and Levison (1997), Levison and Duncan (1997), and Levison et al. (2001). A key point (Duncan and Levison 1997) was recognition of the potentially important role played by the 'scattered' disc, introduced by Torbett (1989) and detected a few years later (Luu et al. 1997), in which it appears that the scattered disc of primordial objects originally formed in the region of the major planets is the principal source of observed JF comets, rather than the EKB. Under the viewpoint of distinct JF and HT classes, HT comets are regarded as objects captured from the Oort cloud (Levison et al. 2001), a structure that would have been produced inevitably as a by-product of planetary, stellar and other perturbations acting on planetesimals or cometary nuclei originally formed by accretion within the planetary region of the proto-planetary disc.

However, a rather unsatisfactory feature of this general picture is the assumption that HT comets coming from the Oort cloud must disintegrate very quickly in order to explain the small number of objects observed (Emel'yanenko and Bailey 1998; Levison et al. 2001). The number of observed inert HT 'asteroids' is also very small, and it seems as if the disintegration of a kilometre-size comet nucleus, into presumably an initial trail of much smaller boulder-size objects and then finally dust, must proceed fairly rapidly and lead to eventual extinction of the original comet. On the other hand, dynamical theories appear to require that a high proportion of the JF comet source flux should survive dynamical transfer into the inner Solar system to become active JF comets and that these JF comets should survive for $\sim 10^3$ revolutions in the inner Solar system. This difference in the physico-dynamical evolution of the two types of objects is the fading problem for SP comets.

It is probably not unreasonable to assume that comets that formed in different parts of the proto-planetary disc have different physical properties and therefore different lifetimes in the observable region, and it appears that this idea has become very deeply rooted. What is missing, however, is direct observational evidence to support the idea of two qualitatively distinct types of SP comet, correlating with dynamical class. Thus, present theories of the origin of SP comets rely on a poorly understood fading hypothesis to accommodate the observations, and there is no satisfactory physico-dynamical explanation as to why two very different types of SP comet should exist and yet appear observationally almost indistinguishable. Indeed, although comets show a very diverse range of properties, covering a very broad range of sizes, densities, dust-to-gas ratios and so on, there is as yet no compelling observational evidence for the expected bimodality of physical characteristics corresponding to HT versus JF dynamical class (Lamy et al. 2004).

In this work, whilst recognizing that comets may have different physical properties depending for example on their sizes or where they might have formed in the proto-planetary disc, we present a model for the common origin and evolution – from the Oort cloud – of the majority of comets in the Solar system.

1.2 Role of the Oort cloud

We define the Oort cloud as the region containing objects with semimajor axes $a > 10^3$ au (i.e., objects from the Oort cloud have at some point during their evolution reached $a > 10^3$ au). This definition is consistent with those used by other authors; e.g. Wiegert and Tremaine (1999) and Rickman et al. (2008) used similar values of semimajor axis, i.e. $a \simeq 1$–3×10^3 au, to define the inner boundary of the Oort cloud. Dones et al. (2004) introduced a further restriction, namely

that the maximum value of perihelion distance q during an object's orbital evolution should exceed 45 au for it to be counted as an 'Oort cloud' object. However, objects with $a \gtrsim 10^3$ au spend nearly all their time at large heliocentric distances, whatever their value of q, and therefore in the Oort cloud.

In this paper we have chosen to define Oort-cloud objects solely according to a because the influence of stellar and Galactic perturbations is determined mainly by a for near-parabolic orbits. It has been shown (e.g. Emel'yanenko 2005) that the dynamical pathways by which objects with $a > 10^3$ au reach the planetary region are different from those of typical trans-Neptunian objects (TNOs). While the evolution of TNOs is largely determined only by planetary perturbations, stellar and Galactic perturbations play a more substantial role in the process that drives the perihelia of objects with $a > 10^3$ au towards and through the planetary region, regardless of their previous q.

In the present paper (Section 3 onwards) we numerically integrate a much larger number of objects than in the Oort cloud model of Emel'yanenko et al. (2007), in particular to obtain statistically significant numbers of SP comets captured from the Oort cloud and allow a comparison of the model SP numbers and orbital distributions with the corresponding distributions of observed HT and JF comets. First (Section 2), in order that our model parameters can be constrained by observations, we assess the known characteristics of the various populations of cometary bodies.



Fig. 1 The distribution of T and a for observed short-period comets with $q < 1.5$ au.

2 Principal features of observed cometary populations

2.1 Short-period comets

We took data from the MPC (Minor Planet Center) and JPL (Jet Propulsion Laboratory) lists of discovered comets with $P < 200$ yr and $q < 1.5$ au near the present epoch. The completeness level in the discovery of SP comets is slightly uncertain, especially for HT comets and when results are extrapolated to fainter magnitudes and larger perihelion distances. However, many discussions (e.g. Fernández et al. 1999; Levison et al. 2001) have indicated a relatively high degree of completeness in the observed sample of active comets at small perihelion distances ($q < 1.5$ au). This level of completeness is supported too by studies of long-period comets, essentially none of which have been missed at $q < 1.3$ au since 1985 (Fernández and Sosa 2012).

We excluded SOHO comets because these have rather uncertain physical and dynamical characteristics; this only affects the distribution near very small q, a region that we do not study here. We also excluded multiple-apparition comets that have not been observed for a number of revolutions and are now treated as dead or inert. For split comets we took only the orbit of the main nucleus. In the end we obtained a list of 103 observed objects that we regard as representing the present-day set of active SP comets with $q < 1.5$ au. Of these, 75 have $T > 2$ (JF comets) and 28 have $T < 2$ (HT comets).

Figures 1 and 2 present orbital element distributions of these observed objects. The inclinations (Figure 2) show JF comets ($T > 2$) are concentrated close to the ecliptic and prograde HT comets outnumber retrograde ones (Fernández and Gallardo 1994; Levison et al. 2001).

Additionally the intrinsic numbers of JF and HT comets are a key constraint for our model. Fernández et al. (1999) found that about a hundred active JF comets should currently exist in the region $q < 1.5$ au, down to nuclear radius $R{\sim}0.7$ km. The number appears to drop very rapidly for smaller bodies (Fernández et al. 1999; Snodgrass et al. 2011). This estimate could be modified



Fig. 2 The distribution of T and i for observed short-period comets with $q < 1.5$ au.

to take account of more recent comet discoveries (cf. Section 2.1 of Di Sisto et al. 2009) but the result would not be significantly changed.

For HT comets, their longer average orbital periods constitute the principal bias against their discovery relative to JF comets. That is, although we expect that most active comets passing perihelion with sufficiently small q will be found at the current level of observational searches, many HT comets have not yet returned to perihelion during the last few decades when searches have been at such levels. In this way, taking account of the HT period distribution, Levison et al. (2001), from 22 observed HT comets with $q < 1.3$ au, estimated a population of 57 active HT comets ($q < 1.3$). This result can be extrapolated to about a hundred objects with $q < 1.5$ au. In a later paper (Levison et al. 2006) the observed number has only increased to 24, suggesting the estimate is reliable.

We conclude that there are roughly a hundred active JF comets and a comparable number, i.e. approximately a hundred, of active HT comets to be explained in the region $q < 1.5$ au at times near the present epoch. Certainly the number of already known active JF comets shows that their intrinsic number cannot be much below a hundred, while the intrinsic HT number cannot be much above a hundred without implausibly many bright comets being missed by observational searches.

2.2 Near-parabolic flux

The flux of dynamically new comets from the Oort cloud is a fundamental parameter underpinning all dynamical models of the small-body populations in the Solar system, including the estimates in this paper. There are uncertainties in the frequency, $\nu_{\rm new}$, of comets with $a > 10^4$ au passing perihelion per au in q per year, but $\nu_{\rm new}$ is usually estimated to lie in the range 2 to 4 for present-day comets in near-Earth space (Bailey and Stagg 1988; Fernández and Gallardo 1999; Wiegert and Tremaine 1999; Francis 2005). For quantitative estimates in this paper we adopt $\nu_{\rm new} = 2.5$, within the observable region $q < 1.5$ au.

Francis (2005) undertook a detailed discussion of the objects that the LINEAR survey should discover for a given intrinsic cometary population. Considering also the question of the cometary absolute magnitude distribution, he found that very faint (on average presumably smaller) comets are only slightly more abundant than somewhat brighter (presumably larger) ones. Thus statements about cometary numbers, while evidently depending in detail on the adopted absolute-magnitude cutoff, are not strongly dependent on the precise value of that cutoff. In order to fix ideas, our adopted value $\nu_{\rm new} = 2.5$ comets with $a > 10^4$ au passing perihelion per au in q per year may be assumed to apply to comets with total visual absolute magnitudes $H_{10} \lesssim 11$. The quantity H_{10} is the magnitude normalized to 1 au from Earth and Sun (e.g. Everhart 1967). The inclusion of fainter comets (e.g. extrapolating results from $H_{10} = 11$ to $H_{10} = 16$) makes very little practical difference to our results (Francis 2005; Sosa and Fernández 2011), although the calibration factor, $\nu_{\rm new}$, would of course increase. The relative lack of very small (diameters $d \lesssim 0.5$ km) comets (Fernández and Sosa 2012) suggests that the physical response of the smallest dynamically 'new' comets from the Oort cloud to the thermal shock of their first passage at small perihelion provides a clue to the underlying rapid fading of new comets from the Oort cloud, necessary to explain the detailed shape of the observed $1/a$-distribution (cf. Bailey 1984).

Table 1 Centaurs (objects with $5 < q < 28$ au and $a < 1000$ au, excluding a few resonant trans-Neptunian objects and Trojans) that have a probable source in the Oort cloud. The majority of such objects have $a > 60$ au and after observational debiasing would be extremely numerous. Centaurs with $a < 60$ au are listed if $i > 40°$. Only Centaurs with an observational arc larger than 100 days (asteroid orbits from MPC) and comets of orbital Classes 1 and 2 (Marsden and Williams 2008) are included. A unified classification scheme for Centaurs was proposed by Horner et al. (2003).

		a au	q au	i deg
(29981)	1999 TD_{10}	99.4	12.3	6
(87269)	2000 OO_{67}	653	20.8	20
(127546)	2002 XU_{93}	66.8	21.0	78
	2003 FH_{129}	71.3	27.6	19
(65489)	2003 FX_{128}	100	17.8	22
	2004 VH_{131}	60.8	22.3	12
	2005 VD	6.7	5.0	173
(308933)	2006 SQ_{372}	906	24.2	19
	2007 JK_{43}	46.1	23.6	45
	2007 UM_{126}	12.9	8.5	42
	2008 KV_{42}	41.7	21.2	104
(315898)	2008 QD_4	8.4	5.4	42
	2008 YB_3	11.7	6.5	105
	2009 MS_9	386	11.0	68
	2009 YD_7	129	13.4	31
	2010 BK_{118}	447	6.1	144
	2010 JJ_{124}	82.9	23.6	38
	2010 NV_1	294	9.4	141
	2010 WG_9	53.8	18.8	70
	C/1984 U1	646	5.5	179
	C/1998 M6	972	6.0	92
	C/1999 K2	145	5.3	82
	C/2001 Q1	176	5.8	67
	C/2002 K2	561	5.2	131
	C/2002 P1	497	6.5	35
	C/2002 VQ_{94}	189	6.8	71
	C/2003 J1	514	5.1	98
	C/2005 R4	914	5.2	164
	C/2007 D3	765	5.2	46
	C/2007 K1	425	9.2	108

2.3 Centaurs

Centaurs are an intermediate cometary population (including active comets and inactive apparent asteroids), some of them being en-route from the outer Solar system to near-Earth space and the SP comet region. As a transition population the Centaurs must be replenished from a more distant source, presumably located either in the trans-Neptunian region or the Oort cloud, and they play a pivotal role in constraining theories of the origin of SP comets.

There is however no abiding consensus on the exact definition of a Centaur. Many authors (e.g. Stern and Campins 1996; Gladman 2002; Gladman et al. 2008; Jewitt 2009) adopt the criterion that a Centaur should orbit largely in the region of the outer planets. This has often been taken to mean $a \lesssim 30$ au, i.e. less than the semimajor axis of Neptune. In contrast, following Emel'yanenko et al. (2007), we define Centaurs as small bodies moving in heliocentric orbits with $5 < q < 28$ au and $a < 1000$ au (with any value of i), excluding a few resonant trans-Neptunian objects and Trojans. Thus, many objects that we call Centaurs (cf. Horner et al. 2003, 2004a,b) would be classified by some other authors as scattered-disc objects.

The condition $q < 28$ au separates Centaurs from the NNHE region described in Section 2.4. Our Centaur definition reflects the fact that this entire region of orbital element phase space ($a < 1000$ au and any i) constitutes a transition region of dynamically short-lived orbits in which population numbers and orbit distributions provide vital evidence about the outer Solar system source regions. So whereas a significant number of Centaurs are produced by dynamical evolution from the Kuiper belt or the trans-Neptunian region (e.g. Tiscareno and Malhotra 2003; Volk and Malhotra 2008), we emphasize that using a similar definition of a Centaur to that used in this paper, Emel'yanenko et al. (2005) showed the debiased distribution of observed Centaurs contradicts the idea that Centaurs *primarily* originate from a flattened disc-like population. They inferred instead that the Oort cloud produces ∼90% of Centaurs, specifically well over 90% of Centaurs that have $a > 60$ au (which themselves constitute 90% of the Centaur population after observational debiasing) and ∼50% of Centaurs with $a < 60$ au. Of these $a < 60$ Centaurs, the Oort cloud contributes especially to those with $i > 40°$.

Observational evidence for Centaurs with these orbital characteristics is growing (Table 1), consistent with predictions (Emel'yanenko 2005; Emel'yanenko et al. 2005) that a significant number of Centaurs have a proximate source in the Oort cloud. Emel'yanenko et al. (2005) concluded that there were two separate but overlapping dynamical classes of Centaurs, one originating in the Oort cloud and the other from the observed near-Neptune high-eccentricity region, each source region producing ∼50% of Centaurs with $a \lesssim 60$ au and ∼50% of JF comets. A bimodal colour distribution is observed in Centaurs (Peixinho et al. 2003). The only presently apparent difference in the two groups' orbital properties is that red Centaurs tend to have lower i (Tegler et al. 2008), while Peixinho et al. (2012) instead find that the bimodality is only pronounced in smaller objects. A dynamical evolution study suggests red Centaurs have spent less time at small q (Melita and Licandro 2012).

2.4 Trans-Neptunian objects

As with Centaurs the nomenclature is not universal. For example (Gladman et al. 2008) in some classification schemes the term 'Kuiper belt' can mean the union of the 'classical' Kuiper belt, the scattered disc, the 'extended' (or detached) scattered disc and resonant objects exterior to the Neptune Trojans, the whole region sometimes being described simply as the trans-Neptunian region.

We define the trans-Neptunian region as the part of the Solar system in the vicinity of and beyond Neptune but interior to the Oort cloud, containing trans-Neptunian objects (TNOs) with $a < 10^3$ au. This region contains a complex, overlapping population of dynamically distinct classes of small bodies.

First there is the classical Edgeworth-Kuiper belt (EKB), a region estimated to contain a current total mass of the order of 0.01–0.02 $M_\oplus$ (Bernstein et al. 2004; Fuentes and Holman 2008). The observed EKB objects are widely believed to represent the remains (perhaps less than 1%) of a massive primordial population of objects originally formed in low to moderate-eccentricity orbits in the extended proto-planetary disc beyond Neptune (Stern 1995, 1996; Morbidelli and Brown 2004). Non-resonant EKB objects cannot be the dominant source of observed JF comets as there are too few observed low-eccentricity orbits in this region with perihelia close enough to the orbit of Neptune to be captured in sufficient numbers (see Emel'yanenko et al. 2005). Resonant EKB objects can diffuse to other dynamical populations over Gyr time-scales (Morbidelli 1997; Tiscareno and Malhotra 2009), but their escape rate is rather less than that of 'scattered disc' objects (Volk and Malhotra 2008), so that this scattered disc, a declining and dynamically unstable population introduced by Duncan and Levison (1997), is a more important source of JF comets. For these reasons the classical EKB is not part of our present model.

A second class of 'primordial' TNO (i.e. TNOs that have never reached the Oort cloud) is a subset of the 'scattered disc' population. In this picture (Torbett 1989), objects originally formed in the region of the giant planets are gravitationally scattered outwards in a to produce an extended, flattened disc-like structure. Whereas a primordial disc of objects beyond Neptune would be characterized by low eccentricities and inclinations, according to many theories of cometary origin, the scattered disc is expected to contain objects on orbits having much higher eccentricities and substantial inclinations, perhaps merging smoothly into the unobserved but massive inner Oort cloud described by Hills (1981). This second class of TNO therefore comprises objects that may have encountered Uranus and Neptune during an early phase of evolution of the Solar system and somehow survived to the present day without ever having evolved as far as the Oort cloud ($a > 10^3$ au). In our model (Section 3.1), for example, 6% of particles that had initial perihelion distances in the range $25 < q_0 < 36$ au survived to the present day without entering the Oort cloud or reaching any other end-state of the model (Emel'yanenko et al. 2007). This means that there is likely to be a significant number of surviving objects in this region whose orbits would appear to be very long-lived and which previous work has shown might possibly be a significant source of SP comets (Duncan and Levison 1997, Emel'yanenko et al. 2004).

A third class of TNO comprises bodies that were formed with original orbits in or close to the proto-planetary disc, but which at some time in their orbital history became part of the Oort cloud ($a > 10^3$ au) and are thus not 'primordial' in the sense of the second class above. Although most objects reaching the Oort cloud still have $a > 10^3$ au at the present epoch, a few evolve back to $a < 10^3$ au and so into the trans-Neptunian region. Our model produces many such objects, which we defined as 'Oort Scattered Disc' (OSD) in Emel'yanenko et al. (2007).

We define also the near-Neptune high-eccentricity (NNHE) region, by $28 < q < 35.5$ au and $60 < a < 1000$ au. This region has an important dynamical characterization, covering objects that come close enough to Neptune's orbit to be captured. The q cutoff at 28 au, just within Neptune's orbit and below which an object becomes a Centaur, acknowledges the importance for coming under a planet's control of a particle's perihelion distance (Horner et al. 2003).

Observed NNHE objects are an important source of SP comets coming from the trans-Neptunian region (Emel'yanenko et al. 2004, 2005). Whether these observed NNHE objects are the same as NNHE objects produced as a result of dynamical evolution of objects into and subsequently from the Oort cloud remains to be determined. Section 5.1 concludes they are not, and therefore that the observed NNHE objects come from another source than that considered here.

3 Integrations

3.1 Model and methods

To construct our Oort cloud model, following Emel'yanenko et al. (2007), particles' initial conditions after the formation and migration of the planets had the original semimajor axes uniformly distributed in the range $50 <$

$a_0 < 300$ au. The original inclinations were distributed following a 'sine law' scaled to the interval $0 < i_0 < 40°$; the original perihelion distances were distributed uniformly in the range $5 < q_0 < 36$ au; and the original arguments of perihelion and original ascending nodes were distributed uniformly between 0 and 360°. The inclination distribution (peaked at $i_0 = 20°$ falling to zero at 0 and 40°) is similar to the model scattered disc i distribution adopted by Volk and Malhotra (2008) following Brown (2001). Our choice of $q_0 < 36$ au is connected with the assumption that the Oort cloud was created by objects coming from the planetary region or its nearest vicinity. Although some objects with $q_0 > 36$ au may reach the near-Neptune region (Duncan et al. 1995; Emel'yanenko et al. 2003), it is evident that their contribution to the Oort cloud is small because the rate of diffusion in perihelion distance is slow.

While our choice of q_0 assumes that comets were scattered to the Oort cloud region mainly by planetary perturbations, we do not use as initial conditions near-circular orbits in the planetary region (in contrast, for example, with Dones et al. 2004). Thus although it may be natural to assume that planetesimals formed in near-circular orbits are a source of Oort cloud comets, the accretional model of planetary formation still has so many difficulties and unclear questions that we deliberately avoid considering any particular hypothesis of comet formation a priori. Indeed the real situation with the initial orbital distribution of comets could be much more complicated than that described in Dones et al. (2004) even if comets were formed in near-circular, coplanar orbits. For example, planetary migration in the early Solar system appears to have been important in shaping the outer Solar system (Tsiganis et al. 2005). Moreover, the Sun may have formed in a denser stellar environment than it occupies now (Fernández and Brunini 2000; Levison et al. 2010). This makes assumptions about the distribution of comets in the early Solar system very uncertain.

Instead our approach is to constrain some features of the cometary distribution in the early Solar system by analysing observed distributions of cometary objects in the present Solar system. The main aim is to show that there are models of the Oort cloud that can explain the observed distributions of JF and HT comets. Our Oort cloud model can be interpreted as providing some general constraints on aspects of the cometary orbital distribution during early stages of the Solar system's evolution. While details of the earliest stages of planetary and Oort cloud formation are beyond the scope of the present paper, we regard our Oort cloud as representing a general class of model in which cometary planetesimals, formed in the proto-planetary disc, have



Fig. 3 The distribution of a and i for all the observed multiple-opposition high-eccentricity TNOs with $q > 36$ au. Data from MPC.

been scattered outwards by the planets to become subject to stellar and Galactic perturbing forces (cf. Duncan et al. 1987; Fernández 1997; Dones et al. 2004; Dybczyński et al. 2008; Leto et al. 2008). Hahn and Malhotra's (1999) finding (their Section 4) that the total mass reaching the Oort cloud is quite insensitive to the orbital histories of the migrating planets tentatively supports our assertion that the precise details of planetary migration and comet formation are not relevant to our present purpose. It is for these reasons that we regard the 'initial conditions' of our integrations as applying to the time after the Solar system's planetary migration phase.

There are several further motivations for the choice of initial high-eccentricity ($50 < a_0 < 300$ au; q_0 in or near planetary region) rather than near-circular orbits. This range of a_0 is sufficiently large that objects can reach it at an early stage of evolution on the way to the Oort cloud under a wide range of different assumptions of cometary formation. The choice of initial conditions also allows particles to experience planetary perturbations for a long time before reaching the Oort cloud

region, the model's maximum value of a_0 being much smaller than that used in a similar approach by Duncan et al. (1987). The choice of initial i, and initial a ranging above 200 au, is moreover expected in the scattered disc model with migrating Neptune (Gomes 2003). The main reason for our choice of initial orbits, however, is that the majority of high-eccentricity trans-Neptunian objects have orbits with $50 < a < 300$ au and $i < 40°$. Figure 3 shows the distribution of a and i for discovered multiple-opposition objects with $q > 36$ au. This population of trans-Neptunian objects may preserve at least some memory of its original early Solar system distribution. Results for different initial models can be obtained by applying appropriate weights (Section 5.3).

The initial orbits were integrated in a model Solar system taking full account of planetary perturbations. All objects that reached the Oort cloud ($a > 10^3$ au) were then evolved for the remaining age of the Solar system under the combined action of planetary, stellar and Galactic perturbations.

In the present work, the 8925 objects that survived after 4.5 Gyr were cloned 200 times and integrated for a further 300 Myr including planetary, stellar and Galactic perturbations. The initial orbital distribution of these objects is shown in Figures 1 and 2 of Emel'yanenko et al. (2007). In order to suppress any possible artefacts associated with the initial conditions of the 300 Myr integrations we analysed our results on the interval 50–300 Myr. We took account of perturbations from the four large planets Jupiter to Neptune, using the secular perturbation theory of Brouwer and van Woerkom (1950) and Sharaf and Budnikova (1967), adding the terrestrial planets' masses to the Sun. Objects were removed when $q < 0.005$ au or $1/a < 10^{-5}$ au^{-1}, or if they collided with planets.

The orbital calculations used the symplectic integrator described in the papers Emel'yanenko (2002) and Emel'yanenko et al. (2003) unless and until the orbit reached $q < 2.5$ au and the symplectic integrator of Emel'yanenko (2007) beyond that. The former method solves the Hamiltonian equations of barycentric motion for test particles moving in the field of the Sun and planets. It uses an adaptive time-step that is a function of the distance r from the centre and of the magnitude of perturbations, and so can deal with both highly eccentric orbits and close planetary encounters. The time-step is almost proportional to r at small distances and in the absence of close encounters: in general it was 15 days at $r = 5$ au, and it did not exceed 900 days at any distance.

For objects reaching $q < 2.5$ au, the time-step of the integrator was approximately equal to $4.9989r/\varphi$, where $\varphi = 1+Br+\gamma\sum_{j=1}^{4} b_j/\Delta_j+\gamma_1/r^{3/2}$, B=0.005549, γ=3, γ_1=58, $b_j = a_j(m_j/3)^{1/3}$, Δ_j is the distance between the object and the perturbing planet, and a_j and m_j are the mass and the semimajor axis of the perturbing planet (j=1,2,3,4 for Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus and Neptune, respectively).

The Galactic model is taken from Byl (1986), but with the Sun's angular speed $\Omega_0 = 26$ km s^{-1} kpc^{-1} and the mid-plane density of the Galactic disc in the Solar neighbourhood $\rho_0 = 0.1\,M_\odot$ pc^{-3} following Levison et al. (2001). To model stellar perturbations the procedure of Heisler et al. (1987) was used.

3.2 Initial results

We have previously shown that objects that have visited the Oort cloud ($a > 10^3$ au) at some time in their orbital history make a significant contribution to the observed classes of cometary objects in the Solar system (Emel'yanenko et al. 2007). Table 2 updates the results of that work using the present, more extensive simulations, adopting a present-day near-parabolic flux $\nu_{\rm new} = 2.5$. The difference between the first three lines of this Table and the corresponding results in Table 2 of Emel'yanenko et al. (2007) are partly due to the assumed $\nu_{\rm new} = 2$ in that paper and partly also due to statistical fluctuations in the relatively small number of objects considered in the earlier work.

In the present Table 2, $N_{\rm OC}$ is the total number of objects in the Oort cloud ($a > 10^3$ au) at the present epoch; and $N_{\rm I}$ and $N_{\rm O}$ are the corresponding numbers in the relatively flattened inner Oort cloud ($10^3 < a < 10^4$ au) and the more isotropic outer Oort cloud ($a > 10^4$ au) respectively.

$N_{\rm S}$ is the number of OSD objects (objects from the Oort cloud in the region $q > 30$ au, $60 < a < 1000$ au, the 'S' suffix indicating that they are located in the analogous region to the scattered-disc objects discussed by authors such as Duncan and Levison 1997), $N_{\rm N}$ is the number in the NNHE region and $N_{\rm C}$ is the number of Centaurs, also at the present epoch. In our model, $N_{\rm S}$, $N_{\rm N}$ and $N_{\rm C}$ represent the numbers of objects in these respective regions *which have previously visited the Oort cloud*. In order of magnitude, $N_{\rm S} \approx 3$–$4N_{\rm N}$, the majority in orbits that do not strongly interact with Neptune, and $N_{\rm N} \approx 7$–$8N_{\rm C}$.

Finally, $\nu_{\rm JF}$ and $\nu_{\rm HT}$ are the corresponding present-day annual injection rates of cometary objects coming from the Oort cloud into JF and HT orbits with $q < 1.5$ au. The values $\nu_{\rm JF}$ and $\nu_{\rm HT}$ are 'dynamical' injection rates, i.e. obtained by ignoring any effects of physical fading or disintegration. The total number of active JF and HT comets will depend (see below) on their respective dynamical and physical lifetimes as SP comets. It

Table 2 The number of cometary objects in different dynamical classes at the present epoch. All figures are calibrated with an assumed near-parabolic flux $\nu_{\rm new} = 2.5$. The first three lines show the total number in the Oort cloud and the contributions to this number from objects in the inner and outer Oort cloud respectively. The second three lines show the numbers of OSD objects ($N_{\rm S}$), NNHE objects ($N_{\rm N}$) and Centaurs ($N_{\rm C}$) coming from the Oort cloud. The final two lines indicate the present-day rate of production of new JF and HT comets from this Oort-cloud source into orbits with $q < 1.5$ au, neglecting any effects due to fading. The columns provide results for four different frequency distributions of initial perihelion distance, with relative numbers in the outer Solar system increasing from left to right.
For clarity the dynamical definitions used for these classes are then summarized. The $N_{\rm S}$ and $N_{\rm N}$ classes overlap; we primarily use $N_{\rm N}$ to analyse data (see especially Section 5.1) but calculate $N_{\rm S}$ for extra comparisons with other work.

	q^{-2}	q^{-1}	q^{0}	$25 < q < 36$
$N_{\rm OC}$	4.8×10^{11}	5.3×10^{11}	5.8×10^{11}	7.1×10^{11}
$N_{\rm I}$	1.7×10^{11}	2.2×10^{11}	2.6×10^{11}	4.1×10^{11}
$N_{\rm O}$	3.1×10^{11}	3.1×10^{11}	3.1×10^{11}	3.0×10^{11}
$N_{\rm S}$	9.0×10^{9}	18.0×10^{9}	21.0×10^{9}	43.0×10^{9}
$N_{\rm N}$	3.0×10^{9}	5.6×10^{9}	6.5×10^{9}	12.9×10^{9}
$N_{\rm C}$	4.6×10^{8}	7.7×10^{8}	8.4×10^{8}	15.2×10^{8}
$\nu_{\rm JF}$	0.043	0.069	0.100	0.203
$\nu_{\rm HT}$	0.073	0.079	0.082	0.083

$N_{\rm OC}$	$a > 1000$
$N_{\rm I}$	$1000 < a < 10000$
$N_{\rm O}$	$a > 10000$
$N_{\rm S}$	$q > 30,\ \ 60 < a < 1000$
$N_{\rm N}$	$28 < q < 35.5,\ \ 60 < a < 1000$
$N_{\rm C}$	$5<q<28,\ \ a<1000$ (not resonant TNOs, Trojans)
$\nu_{\rm JF}$	$P < 200,\ \ T > 2$
$\nu_{\rm HT}$	$P < 200,\ \ T < 2$

is noteworthy that $\nu_{\rm HT}$ is relatively insensitive to the initial frequency distribution of objects versus perihelion distance. Many Halley-types come from long-period Oort cloud orbits with perihelion distances in the inner planetary region (i.e. roughly within the orbit of Jupiter), but others (roughly 20% of the total) originate from the high-eccentricity Oort cloud cometary flux through the outer planetary region (Emel'yanenko and Bailey 1998; Emel'yanenko et al. 2007) and have a correspondingly more complex dynamical history. Some of these comets reaching JF or HT orbits pass through the $N_{\rm S}$ or $N_{\rm N}$ regions en route from the Oort cloud.

4 Short-period comet problems

4.1 Numbers

It is well known that, with a population of only ∼100 HT comets with $q < 1.5$ au (as constrained by observations), if we try to explain their origin by capture from the present-day Oort-cloud near-parabolic flux with initial perihelion distances $q_{\rm init} < 5$ au, then it is necessary to place a very tight limit on the physical lifetime of such comets. This limit is further strengthened by the inclusion of HT comets originating from Oort-cloud source orbits with initial perihelion distances $q_{\rm init} > 5$ au. Since comets are typically active at larger distances than 1.5 au, we must also consider restrictions on their physical lifetime in the region $q < 2.5$ au. Thus, for particles reaching $q < 1.5$ au, our integrations record also the preceding length of time spent with $q < 2.5$ au.

Although highly volatile ices, such as carbon monoxide CO, can sublimate at large distances ∼10 au, the main driver of cometary activity, as recognized long ago by Whipple (1950), is the sublimation of water H_2O ice. The mass loss rate for sublimating water ice has a fast decrease for heliocentric distances larger than 2 au (Jewitt 2004). Therefore, in our model we apply restrictions on the cometary lifetime only in the region $q < 2.5$ au, assuming that outside this region the fading of comets is negligible in comparison to that when $q < 2.5$ au.

In order that the steady-state number of active HT comets should be $\lesssim 100$, our results imply that objects from the Oort cloud ($a > 10^3$ au at some time during their history) should survive as active comets for an average of $\lesssim 150$ revolutions in the region $q < 2.5$ au, in the model where the number of objects per unit perihelion distance is proportional to q_0^{-2}. The result is much the same for other models, as indicated by the relatively weak dependence of $\nu_{\rm HT}$ versus dynamical model given in Table 2.

However, when we apply the same physical-lifetime constraint to the Oort-cloud objects that eventually become JF comets, we predict too few JF comets by a factor of around 30. That is, we predict only about three JF comets in the region $q < 1.5$ au compared to the ∼100 to be explained. This illustrates the well-known problem of explaining the number of JF comets captured from the Oort cloud if the two classes of SP comet are assumed to have broadly the same physical properties and lifetimes, a result (as we have indicated) at the heart of what we have called the SP comet fading problem.

There is an extensive literature on possible ways to overcome this 'number' problem, including the as-

sumption that JF comets may arise through the fragmentation of one or more large progenitors. Such time-dependence in the present-day JF population appears to be rather unlikely, and in recent years has led to an increasing focus on models in which not only do JF and HT short-period comets originate from different primordial source regions, but have different physical properties as well.

4.2 Fading

The problem of the relative and absolute numbers of HT and JF comets suggests the need to introduce an alternative dominant source for JF comets other than the Oort cloud. Such a source could include a remnant population of scattered-disc objects perturbed by Neptune on to relatively long-period orbits at an early stage of Solar-system evolution (Duncan and Levison 1997), or for example a primordial population of high-eccentricity trans-Neptunian objects initially formed beyond Neptune (or a combination of these pictures). However, irrespective of the details of such a model, there would remain the SP comet fading problem. That is, the problem that JF comets originating from any such trans-Neptunian source must have much longer lifetimes in the inner Solar system than observed Halley-types, and therefore statistically different fading properties.

The problem can be illustrated in three ways. First, Emel'yanenko et al. (2004) showed that, while the outflow rate from the observed NNHE region is $\sim 0.93 \times 10^{-9}$ yr^{-1} (a factor of 3 higher than the outflow rate found by Volk and Malhotra (2008) from the more limited region having $q > 33$ au), the predicted dynamical injection rate of JF comets with $q < 1.5$ au from the observed NNHE region is approximately $0.18 \times 10^{-10} N'_{\rm N}$ yr^{-1}. Here $N'_{\rm N}$ is the intrinsic (i.e. observationally debiased) number of objects in the NNHE region represented by the then observed sample.

If it is assumed that most JF comets come from this region and have broadly the same fading behaviour as the observed Halley-types (i.e. mean lifetimes of the order of 150 revolutions in the region $q < 2.5$ au), then our calculations would require $N'_{\rm N} > 3 \times 10^{10}$. This value, which as in Section 2 may be assumed to apply to $H_{10} \lesssim 11$ cometary bodies (nuclear diameter $\gtrsim 1$ km), is greater than all previous estimates of the number of objects in this NNHE region, for example the $\sim 4 \times 10^9$ scattered-disc objects with q in the range 34–36 au estimated by Trujillo et al. (2000). Furthermore, our result is a lower limit, for example because some of the comets that might have reached $q < 1.5$ au in the absence of fading will be removed from the distribution of active comets by the lifetime limit of 150 revolutions within $q < 2.5$ au. Thus, if we take account of physical fading, the rate of injection of active JF comets to the region $q < 1.5$ au is less than $0.18 \times 10^{-10} N'_{\rm N}$ yr^{-1}, requiring an even larger number of objects in the observed NNHE region to explain the observed number of JF comets.

A second argument comes from the predicted inclination distribution of the resulting JF comets. Emel'yanenko et al. (2004) found that the observed JF comets could in principle be explained by the evolution of objects captured from the observed NNHE region provided that the maximum lifetime of the resulting JF comets in the region $q < 2.5$ au was not too long, i.e. approximately 2500 years ($\sim$360 revolutions). However, such a lifetime (i.e. 360 revolutions) is already 2–3 times longer than that required to explain the active HT comets from our Oort-cloud source, again highlighting the SP comet fading problem.

A third general argument leading to the same conclusion arises because the estimates in Emel'yanenko et al. (2004) were based on the assumptions that (a) the number of objects in the observed NNHE region is of the order of 10^{10} and (b) the physical behaviour of all JF comets is broadly the same. If the number of objects in the observed NNHE region is smaller than this, as seems likely (e.g. Levison et al. 2006), we would have to invoke longer average JF comet lifetimes in the region $q < 2.5$ au to explain the observed number. Alternatively, if there are two types of JF comet, for example one with a mean lifetime in the region $q < 2.5$ au comparable to that ($\sim$150 revolutions) required to explain the number of HT comets, then the other must have a much longer average lifetime to compensate. This would exacerbate the SP comet fading problem, not just by highlighting a difference in the physical properties of some JF comets and Halley-types, but by introducing a new (and arbitrary) difference between two different assumed types of JF comet.

Various arguments could of course be invoked to justify possible physical differences between different types of comet, for example that Oort-cloud objects might have visited the Jupiter-Saturn region many times before being finally ejected into the outer Solar system, whereas NNHE objects might never have come close to the inner Solar system before finally evolving into the observed Jupiter family. In this case, and whatever one's view of the merit of such speculations, it is evident that we should not dismiss lightly the possibility that there may be two or more distinct types of SP comet.

However, in order to accommodate the twin constraints of the number of JF comets (tending to require a long lifetime) and their inclination distribution (tending to require a shorter lifetime), such models are

also subject to fine tuning and a very strict observational test. That is, the dynamically distinct HT and JF classes of SP comet should, on average, have very different fading properties and rates of decay in the observable region. In particular, the JF comets originating from a flattened source distribution other than the Oort cloud must, if they are to dominate the observed distribution of JF comets, have much longer lifetimes in the observable region than their HT counterparts originating from the Oort cloud. In principle, such a major physical difference between the two dynamical classes of SP comet (or even within the dynamically defined Jupiter family if the latter come from originally distinct sources) should be amenable to an observational test.

In summary, the SP comet fading problem remains an obstacle to understanding the origin of SP comets. Although it may be reasonable to suggest that the comets which formed in different regions of the primordial Solar system might have different fading properties after they eventually evolve into the observable region, it is important to emphasize that there is as yet no clear-cut observational evidence to support such a view, nor even for any clear physical differences between the two main classes of SP comet. Rather than two physically different types of SP comet, behaving in statistically different ways in the inner planetary region so far as fading is concerned, we therefore instead develop in the remainder of this paper a unified model for the origin of SP comets. In this unified model all comets, whether coming from the Oort cloud or trans-Neptunian region, display broadly similar physical behaviour in the inner planetary region.

5 Unified model

We return to the idea that the key factor linking the two classes of SP comet, and perhaps all classes of comet, is their singular lack of strength and associated rapid fading. We thus seek a unified model for the origin and evolution of cometary bodies in the Solar system (particularly the observed SP comets) in which the majority of observed SP comets (though perhaps not all) originate from an Oort-cloud source which itself has an origin primarily in the dynamical evolution of objects left behind after the period of planet formation and planetary migration. In this case it is reasonable to assume that, to first order, the majority of comets will have broadly similar characteristics, though not necessarily identical physical properties, including those relating to fading.

In developing this unified physical picture for the origin of comets, we obtain new constraints on their required fading properties within the observable region. In particular, we use dynamical information provided by the results of our integrations and the link between Centaurs and SP comets to constrain the cometary numbers and lifetimes. In broad terms, our unified model predicts that essentially all the HT comets and nearly half the JF comets come from the Oort cloud. A flattened trans-Neptunian disc source is, however, required for the remaining ∼50% of JF comets. However, these objects too are predicted to have relatively short physical lifetimes within the observable region in order not to produce too many active JF comets. Thus, all comets have essentially the same fading properties within the observable region.

5.1 Centaurs and the NNHE region

In principle, understanding the relative contributions of different outer Solar system source regions to the SP comet population requires a full description of the number and orbital distribution of all objects in the trans-Neptunian region. Unfortunately our present knowledge of this complex region is limited by the precision with which the observed orbits are known and by severe observational selection effects. We therefore use the observed distribution of Centaurs (objects with $5 < q < 28$ au and $a < 1000$ au) to constrain our results. Centaurs are an important transition population providing valuable information. Emel'yanenko (2005) and Emel'yanenko et al. (2007) presented various characteristics of the orbital distribution of Centaurs from the Oort cloud, results which are supported by our present work. The more extensive integrations of our current paper are necessary to provide a sufficient number of integrated particles transferred from the outer Solar system to SP orbits.

We recall that Emel'yanenko et al. (2005) predicted the orbital distribution of Centaurs originating from the observed NNHE region ($28 < q < 35.5$ au and $60 < a < 1000$ au). These early results were based on the orbits of seven well-determined observed TNOs in the NNHE region suitably weighted by an observational debiasing procedure (Emel'yanenko et al. 2004). Let us denote as $N'_{\rm N}$ the intrinsic (i.e. debiased) number of objects in the NNHE region represented by this observed sample. Note that $N_{\rm N}$ introduced above in Section 2.4 is defined in terms of exactly the same region of orbital element phase space. However, whereas $N_{\rm N}$ refers to objects that have been in the Oort cloud ($a > 10^3$ au), $N'_{\rm N}$ is the intrinsic (observationally debiased) number of NNHE objects represented by the discovered population. By this definition, $N'_{\rm N}$ and $N_{\rm N}$ could comprise the same population, or be disjoint, or partially overlap.

If disjoint, then $N'_{\rm N}$ could represent the number of objects in the NNHE region associated with a primordial source distribution in the trans-Neptunian disc and so not be included in our Oort-cloud model. We can discover how $N'_{\rm N}$ really relates to $N_{\rm N}$ by using Centaurs as a constraint, as follows.

In a steady state, the number of Centaurs $N'_{\rm C}$ originating from the observed NNHE source region is a fixed proportion of the total number $N'_{\rm N}$ of such objects. Emel'yanenko et al. (2005), using the integrations of Emel'yanenko et al. (2004), calculated the constant of proportionality $f_{\rm N'\rightarrow C'} \simeq 0.008$, i.e. $N'_{\rm C} \simeq 0.008 N'_{\rm N}$. They also showed that these Centaurs were split in the ratio 0.003 to 0.005 between orbits having respectively $a > 60$ and $a < 60$ au, nearly all the latter having $20 < a < 60$ au (Emel'yanenko et al. 2005, fig. 2).

In order to compare these dynamical results with observations it is necessary to apply an appropriate debiasing correction to the observed distribution of Centaurs. The results of Emel'yanenko et al. (2005), based on a sample of 42 well-determined Centaur orbits excluding objects in the 2/3 mean-motion resonance with Neptune, showed that the intrinsic number of Centaurs $N^{\rm obs}_{\rm C}$ is overwhelmingly dominated by objects with $a >$ 60 au (roughly 90% of Centaurs having such orbits), and that $N^{\rm obs}_{\rm C} \simeq 0.13 N'_{\rm N}$. This ratio, namely 0.13, is much larger than the dynamical prediction $f_{\rm N'\rightarrow C'} \simeq$ 0.008, and this fact alone implies that the majority of Centaurs, particularly the majority of those with $a > 60$ au, must have another source, i.e. a source other than the $N'_{\rm N}$ objects representing the observed NNHE region. In this case, because it is an inescapable part of any successful model, such a source is most likely the Oort cloud.

Emel'yanenko et al. (2005) also showed (their fig. 5) that, after debiasing, only 10% of Centaurs with $a <$ 60 au have $40 < a < 60$ au. On the other hand, if the principal source of Centaurs had been the observed NNHE region, the dynamically predicted fraction would have been around 50% (loc. cit. fig. 2). This is further evidence that the $N'_{\rm N}$ objects representing the observed NNHE region cannot explain all the observed Centaurs. Indeed, it raises the possibility that the Oort cloud may contribute significantly to Centaurs with $a < 60$ au as well.

In summary, the dynamically predicted number of Centaurs with $a > 60$ au coming from the observed NNHE region is roughly $0.003 N'_{\rm N}$, whereas observations require this number to be of the order of $90\% \times 0.13 = 0.117 N'_{\rm N}$. The difference between these two results (i.e. $0.114 N'_{\rm N}$) can be attributed to an Oort-cloud flux, i.e. the flux of Oort-cloud objects through the planetary system irrespective of whether they have gone through the NNHE region. At this stage we make no assumption as to whether any or all of the $N'_{\rm N}$ objects represented by the observed NNHE population come from the Oort cloud. In any case, their contribution to Centaurs with $a > 60$ au, i.e. $\simeq 0.003 N'_{\rm N}$, is insignificant.

Our new integrations provide a value for the steady-state ratio of the number of Centaurs produced from the Oort cloud with $a < 60$ au to the number with $a > 60$ au (cf. Table 5 later). Specifically, for every Centaur with $a > 60$ au, approximately 0.07 Centaurs are produced with $a < 60$ au. Therefore, for every $0.114 N'_{\rm N}$ Centaurs with $a > 60$ au that the Oort cloud produces, it also produces $\sim 0.008 N'_{\rm N}$ Centaurs with $a < 60$ au.

As we have noted, the dynamically predicted number of Centaurs with $a < 60$ au coming from the observed NNHE region is $N'_{\rm C}(a < 60) \simeq 0.005 N'_{\rm N}$ and the debiased number of Centaurs with $a < 60$ au is $N^{\rm obs}_{\rm C}(a < 60) \simeq 10\% \times 0.13 N'_{\rm N} \simeq 0.013 N'_{\rm N}$. Thus, the additional population of Centaurs with $a < 60$ au produced by the Oort-cloud flux through the planetary system is sufficient to account for this difference of $0.008 N'_{\rm N}$. However, to a good approximation, the same Oort cloud flux does not explain the entire number of $N^{\rm obs}_{\rm C}(a < 60) \simeq 0.013 N'_{\rm N}$ Centaurs with $a < 60$ au, the $0.005 N'_{\rm N}$ objects from the observed NNHE region being unaccounted for.

We conclude that the observed $N'_{\rm N}$ objects are not produced from the Oort cloud. In other words, the observed NNHE objects studied by Emel'yanenko et al. (2004) illustrate the dynamical features of near-Neptune high-eccentricity objects that have never visited the Oort cloud. In contrast, the predicted $N_{\rm N}$ NNHE objects originating from the Oort cloud in our model represent a sample of objects which owing to discovery biases are under-represented in the observed population.

Thus, although we defined $N'_{\rm N}$ in terms of the observationally debiased known population, we may now interpret it as referring to a 'primordial' trans-Neptunian population that has never become part of the Oort cloud ($a > 10^3$ au). So while the numbers $N_{\rm N}$ and $N'_{\rm N}$ describe objects in the same region of orbital element phase space, they are essentially disjoint sets of objects. The $N_{\rm N}$ objects coming from a proximate source in the Oort cloud are largely unobserved, i.e. are not yet represented in the $N'_{\rm N}$ population of observed objects in the NNHE region.

These results allow us to estimate the number $N'_{\rm N}$ of NNHE objects that have never visited the Oort cloud. Thus, because the two sources are disjoint, $N^{\rm obs}_{\rm C} = N_{\rm C} + N'_{\rm C}$, and hence $N_{\rm C} = 0.122 N'_{\rm N}$ where $N_{\rm C}$ is listed in Table 2. This in turn allows us to determine the additional contribution of these 'primordial' NNHE objects to the number of Centaurs ($N'_{\rm C} = 0.008 N'_{\rm N}$) and

Table 3 The number of Centaurs $N'_{\rm C}$ and the annual injection rate of JF comets $\nu'_{\rm JF}$ coming from the observed $N'_{\rm N}$ population of primordial 'trans-Neptunian' (TN) NNHE objects. The columns correspond to four models as in Table 2. Note that the intrinsic number of primordial objects in this region, $N'_{\rm N}$, is comparable in order of magnitude to the number, $N_{\rm N}$, in the NNHE region that come from the Oort cloud (cf. Table 2) and which are still largely undiscovered. Similarly the annual dynamical injection rate $\nu'_{\rm JF}$ of JF comets from this primordial TN region is comparable to the rate $\nu_{\rm JF}$ from the Oort cloud (Table 2). On the other hand, the predicted number of Centaurs coming from this observed NNHE TN region is roughly an order of magnitude smaller than that coming from the Oort cloud.

	q_0^{-2}	q_0^{-1}	q_0^0	$25 < q_0 < 36$ au
$N'_{\rm N}$	3.7×10^9	6.3×10^9	6.9×10^9	12.5×10^9
$N'_{\rm C}$	3.0×10^7	5.0×10^7	6.0×10^7	10.0×10^7
$\nu'_{\rm JF}$	0.067	0.113	0.124	0.225

to the flux $\nu_{\rm JF}$ of JF comets with $q < 1.5$ au, taking $\nu'_{\rm JF}/N'_{\rm N} = 0.18{\times}10^{-10}$ from Emel'yanenko et al. (2004).

These values are given in Table 3 for the same distributions of initial q_0 as in Table 2. As with Table 2, $\nu'_{\rm JF}$ is a 'dynamical' annual injection rate, i.e. assuming no physical lifetime limit. For comparison, the scattered disc proposed as a source of JF comets by Duncan and Levison (1997) corresponds to objects whose evolution was dominated by initial close encounters with Neptune during the early dynamical history of the Solar system, with no restriction on their subsequent evolution in semimajor axis. What we term the 'primordial' NNHE region overlaps this scattered disc to a large extent but does not include objects that ever reached $a > 10^3$ au.

5.2 Initial perihelion distribution

A further important factor that allows us to discover features of the dynamical and physical evolution of comets is the orbital distribution of JF comets. In particular, the predicted distribution of inclinations is very sensitive to the physical lifetime of comets (Levison and Duncan 1997). On this basis, we obtained limits of 2500 yr for the physical lifetime of JF comets in the region $q < 2.5$ au and 1200 yr in the region $q < 1.5$ au (Emel'yanenko et al. 2004), assuming all JF comets come from the trans-Neptunian region. In our present calculations, we have found that JF comets coming from the Oort cloud have similar dynamical characteristics and that the modelled i distribution of JF comets is close to the observed i distribution if the above physical lifetime limits are imposed.

But if we impose these limits on all SP comets, we have the problem of numbers described above (Section 4.1): the resulting ratio of the number of HT to JF comets is too large. From observational constraints, this ratio is around 1 – maybe below 1 but unlikely to be more than 1.5 (Section 2.1). We find the ratio ranges from 3.2 for the Oort-cloud model with initial perihelia within $25 < q_0 < 36$ au to 12.3 for the model with the initial distribution proportional to q_0^{-2}. In addition, the absolute number of JF comets is too small in models where objects are initially concentrated towards lower q_0, e.g. the number is only 12 in the case of the q_0^{-2} distribution. An additional SP comet contribution from the 'primordial' trans-Neptunian region does not solve these difficulties: adding these SP comets (based on the data of Table 3 but with the physical lifetime limits imposed) the HT/JF ratio ranges from 1.5 to 4.6, the number of JF comets being 32 for the q_0^{-2} distribution. Overall these constraints favour models where the initial number of objects increases with q_0 and are against models where the number decreases with q_0.

5.3 Best-fitting models

In order, therefore, to explore a suitable family of models, we assume firstly that the initial number of objects versus perihelion distance follows a power-law distribution, i.e. the number of objects in the range $(q_0, q_0{+}dq_0)$ is proportional to $q_0^{\alpha} dq_0$. To obtain consistency with both the numbers and orbital distributions of observed SP comets we also introduce a model for the physical lifetime in the observable region $q < 2.5$ au. Protoplanetary disc models suggest the snow line (boundary beyond which ice can condense) gradually moves inwards from distant regions (Davis 2005; Ciesla and Cuzzi 2006; Garaud and Lin 2007; Oka et al. 2011; Martin and Livio 2012) implying that the water distribution in the early Solar system would have been a function of heliocentric distance. It follows that comets' composition could depend on their initial perihelion distance q_0 in the early Solar system. We assume the physical lifetime – within $q < 2.5$ au for comets that reach this region at the present epoch – is a constant number n_2 of revolutions for all objects formed in the outer q_0 range (25,36) au and varies as q_0^{β} for $q_0 < 25$ au (with no discontinuity at $q_0 = 25$). We impose an equivalent restriction, with the same β, for the lifetime in the region $q < 1.5$ au at the present epoch, i.e. n_1 revolutions when the initial q_0 is within (25,36) au and $n_1(q_0/25)^{\beta}$ for $q_0 < 25$ au.

We have explored which values of these four parameters α, β, n_1 and n_2 are consistent with the observational constraints. The total steady-state number of JF

Table 4 The number of JF and HT comets for various acceptable combinations of the parameters α, β, n_1 and n_2.

α	β	n_1	n_2	N_{JF}	N_{HT}
0.5	∞	170	600	45	112
1	1	150	420	42	118
1	2	150	420	42	108
1	∞	150	420	41	101
2	2	140	400	46	112
2	∞	140	400	45	107

comets (to be compared to the number derived from observations) is a sum of the N_{JF} which we calculate here, originating from the Oort cloud, and the additional contribution N'_{JF} from the 'primordial' trans-Neptunian population. N'_{JF} ranges from ∼50 for $\alpha = 1$ to ∼70 for the model where objects are initially concentrated in the outer region $25 < q_0 < 36$ au.

As we saw (Section 5.2), models with $\alpha < 0$ produce unsatisfactory results, namely too few JF comets as well as an incorrect value for the HT/JF ratio. Thus $\alpha > 0$ is implied, i.e. a greater initial concentration of comets towards larger initial q_0. Moreover for values of α larger than 2 (i.e. a strong initial concentration of comets towards the outer region), we need to introduce very strict restrictions on the cometary lifetime, and the resulting number of HT comets in retrograde orbits becomes too small in comparison with the observed number.

Our calculations show that models with $\beta \geq 1$ give results close to observations. But provided $\beta \gtrsim 1$, it is less tightly constrained than α and can even increase to infinity (formally $\beta = \infty$ means that all comets that do not originate within the outer region $25 < q_0 < 36$ au die after the first perihelion passage with $q < 2.5$ au).

Overall it is impossible to derive unique constraints on the cometary lifetimes and the values of α and β simultaneously because of uncertainties in the number and the orbital distribution of SP comets. A range of possible solutions for N_{JF} and N_{HT} is presented in Table 4, representative of the allowed combinations of parameters α, β, n_1 and n_2. The best solutions correspond to a lifetime limit $n_1 \approx 150$ revolutions, and $n_2 \approx 400$ revolutions, with α being in the approximate range 1 to 2, although there are other possibilities (e.g. the first solution in Table 4) with n_1 or n_2 differing by up to a few tens of per cent.

Table 5 summarizes our results for one of the best-fitting models. The parameters are $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 2$, $n_1 = 150$ and $n_2 = 420$. Our model is consistent with the observed features of SP comets, Centaurs and TNOs, and Table 5 estimates the numbers of present-day cometary objects coming from the various original source regions.

Table 5 One of the best-fitting models. The number of cometary objects evolving to different dynamical classes from various initial ranges of q_0 (for Oort cloud comets) and from the observed $N'_{\rm N}$ population of 'primordial' trans-Neptunian (TN) NNHE objects. Here $\alpha = 1$ and $\beta = 2$; the restrictions $n_2 = 420$ and $n_1 = 150$ revolutions are used when calculating N_{JF}, N'_{JF} and N_{HT}. $\bar{\nu}$ denotes the contribution to the observed near-parabolic flux, $\nu_{\rm new}$, from comets originating respectively in each of the initial ranges of perihelion distance.

Initial region:	5–10 au	10–25 au	25–36 au	TN
$N_{\rm OC}$	1.0×10^9	1.8×10^{11}	4.3×10^{11}	–
$N_{\rm I}$	8.0×10^7	5.0×10^{10}	2.5×10^{11}	–
$N_{\rm O}$	1.0×10^9	1.3×10^{11}	1.8×10^{11}	–
$N_{\rm S}$	0	1.0×10^9	2.6×10^{10}	–
$N_{\rm N}$	0	3.0×10^8	7.9×10^9	–
$N_{\rm C}$	3.0×10^4	9.0×10^7	9.3×10^8	–
$N_{\rm C}(a < 60)$	0	1.0×10^6	6.6×10^7	–
$N'_{\rm N}$	–	–	–	8.3×10^9
$N'_{\rm C}$	–	–	–	6.6×10^7
$N'_{\rm C}(a < 60)$	–	–	–	4.2×10^7
$\bar{\nu}$	0.01	0.97	1.52	–
N_{JF}	0	1	41	–
N'_{JF}	–	–	–	51
N_{HT}	0	7	101	0



Fig. 4 The model distribution of T and a in perihelia for SP comets with $q < 1.5$ au coming from the Oort cloud.



Fig. 5 The model distribution of T and i in perihelia for SP comets with $q < 1.5$ au coming from the Oort cloud.

The regions correspond to three ranges of initial q_0 for objects that have visited the Oort cloud, with objects originating from the 'primordial' trans-Neptunian population that have never been in the Oort cloud listed in the final column (TN). The notation in Table 5 is as introduced earlier, with data also listed for the subset of Centaurs having $a < 60$ au.

Whereas the model strongly constrains the initial q_0 distribution, results are not highly sensitive to the initial a_0 distribution, adopted as uniform in the range 50–300 au. For example, for the best-fitting model of Table 5, changing the distribution from uniform to a_0^{-1} per unit interval of a_0, by applying appropriate weights to the integrated particles, changes N_{JF} from 42 to 41 and N_{HT} from 108 to 77 (cf. Table 4).

Although our paper is mainly concerned with the origin of SP comets, we can compare our results for various cometary populations with other work. Fernández et al. (2004), following Trujillo et al. (2000) estimate $7.5{\times}10^9$ objects with radius $R > 1$ km and $q > 30$ au $a > 50$ au but note an order of magnitude uncertainty in this number. Moreover, our estimates are based on the flux of new comets with $H_{10} < 11$ corresponding to $R > 0.3$ km according to Fernandez and Sosa (2012). The number of such objects should be larger than the number of objects with $R > 1$ km. Thus the estimate of Fernández et al. (2004) does not contradict our possible values of $N_{\rm S}$. Estimates for the number of comets in the outer Oort cloud range up to 10^{12} (cf. Heisler 1990; Weissman 1996; Section 2.4 of Dones et al. 2004), while the distribution of comets in different parts of the Oort cloud is consistent with other models (cf. Emel'yanenko et al. 2007; Dybczyński et al. 2008; Leto et al. 2008).

Our data correspond to an initial population of approximately $1.6{\times}10^{12}$ objects with $R > 0.3$ km in the region $25 < q_0 < 36$ au, $50 < a_0 < 300$ au. This is quite consistent with the value of $\sim 3 \times 10^{12}$ objects with $R > 0.5$ km and cometary albedos in the original trans-Neptunian planetesimal disc, presented in Figure 1 of Morbidelli et al. (2009).

The data of Table 5 show that almost all JF comets originate from orbits with initial perihelia in the outer planetary system, and that over 90% of the steady-state number of HT comets come from the same $25 < q_0 < 36$ au region. This indicates that the majority of observed HT comets would have had initial orbits with perihelion distances largely overlapping the range of perihelia of the objects that eventually became JF comets. This is in contrast to the general picture described in Section 1, where JF comets largely originate from initial orbits in the trans-Neptunian region and HT comets from initial orbits in the region of the giant planets, with subsequent very different dynamical histories.

For all the models in Table 4, the orbital distributions of SP objects with $q < 1.5$ au coming from the Oort cloud have similar characteristics. Figures 4 and 5 show the orbital element distributions in perihelia (i.e. equal weight to each perihelion passage) for SP objects with $q < 1.5$ au coming from the Oort cloud, applying the restrictions $n_2 = 420$, $n_1 = 150$, $\beta = 2$ (all objects are equally presented, thus formally $\alpha = 0$ in these plots). The Figures show that in our model, JF comets ($T > 2$) are concentrated near the ecliptic plane, approximately 70% of them having $i < 15°$. Regarding HT comets ($T < 2$), although the model reveals both prograde and retrograde orbits, prograde HT comets outnumber retrograde ones. In these ways the basic features of these distributions are consistent with those of the observed distributions in Figures 1 and 2.

In our model, all the modelled objects with periods under 20 yr have inclinations $i < 60°$. There are several reasons for this. First, the majority of objects captured to the JF population originate from the inner Oort cloud (Emel'yanenko 2005). In our model, the inner Oort cloud is a rather flattened source of comets

(Emel'yanenko et al. 2007). Secondly, the majority of such objects are injected from the inner Oort cloud on to orbits with perihelia in the region of the outer planets by external perturbations. Their subsequent evolution is similar to the scheme described for trans-Neptunian objects by Kazimirchak-Polonskaya (1972) and Levison and Duncan (1997). The latter showed that preferentially objects with Tisserand parameters near 3 with respect to a planet cross the orbit of this planet. This suggests that mainly objects on prograde orbits are transferred to the inner planetary region.

Our results – from analysing observed SP comets – about the initial distribution of objects that form the Oort cloud are consistent with the standard picture of the origin of the Solar system. The conclusion was that $\beta \geq 1$: this corresponds to comets originally from the outer planetary region having a greater probability of survival and thus a longer lifetime as active comets, with objects originating from regions with small heliocentric distances conversely becoming extinct more quickly. This accords with the amount of water (as the main driver of cometary activity) being larger for more distant objects in the early Solar system.

6 Summary and conclusions

We have developed a model of the origin and evolution of the Oort cloud which is consistent with the basic observed orbital distributions of comets, Centaurs and high-eccentricity trans-Neptunian objects. Rather than requiring intrinsically different fading properties for Jupiter-family and Halley-type short-period comets, the model instead adopts the hypothesis that the physical lifetime of objects as active comets in the inner planetary region at the present epoch is a function of their initial perihelion distance in the early Solar system, and is the same for both JF and HT comets. The observed JF and HT populations also constrain the initial distribution of objects versus perihelion distance. Our results show that:

1. The mean physical lifetime of comets is $\lesssim 200$ revolutions in the region $q < 1.5$ au. This implies a significant cometary contribution to the distribution of small bodies ('boulders' and dust) making up the near-Earth interplanetary complex.
2. No model in which the initial number of comets is a decreasing function of their initial perihelion distance q_0 in the early Solar system can explain the present observed distribution of short-period comets.
3. Models in which the initial distribution of objects versus perihelion distance is concentrated more towards the outer planetary region, and in which their present active physical lifetime is an increasing function of q_0, are consistent with the present orbital distributions and numbers of both HT and JF comets.
4. Essentially all the observed HT comets and nearly half the observed JF comets come from a proximate Oort-cloud source (i.e. have experienced orbits with $a > 10^3$ au). The remaining ∼50% of observed JF comets come from the *observed* near-Neptune high-eccentricity (NNHE) population, a dynamically unstable region in which the cometary numbers decline by 95% over 4 Gyr. In addition, more than 90% of all Centaurs ($5 < q < 28$ au, $a < 1000$ au) come from the Oort cloud.
5. The model predicts that there is a significant Oort-cloud contribution to the NNHE population. The number of such objects is comparable to the debiased number of objects already discovered in the NNHE region, but they are still undetected owing to observational biases (e.g. considering large semi-major axes or high inclinations).

Acknowledgements VVE would like to acknowledge the support provided by the Federal Targeted Programme 'Scientific and Educational Human resources of Innovation-Driven Russia' for 2009–2013, and the STFC-funded visitor programme of the Armagh Observatory. Astronomy at Armagh Observatory is supported by grant-in-aid from the Northern Ireland Department of Culture, Arts and Leisure. We thank Julio Fernández and Ramon Brasser for their reviews.

References

1. M.E. Bailey, The steady-state $1/a$-distribution and the problem of cometary fading. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 211, 347–368 (1984)
2. M.E. Bailey, C.R. Stagg, Cratering constraints on the inner Oort cloud: steady-state models. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 235, 1–32 (1988)
3. G.M. Bernstein, D.E. Trilling, R.L. Allen, M.E. Brown, M. Holman, R. Malhotra, The size distribution of trans-Neptunian bodies. Astron. J. 128, 1364–1390 (2004)
4. D. Brouwer, A.J.J. van Woerkom, The secular variations of the orbital elements of the principal planets. Astron. Papers Amer. Ephemeris 13, 81–107 (1950)
5. M.E. Brown, The inclination distribution of the Kuiper Belt. Astron. J. 121, 2804–2814 (2001)
6. J. Byl, The effect of the Galaxy on cometary orbits. Earth Moon Plan. 36, 263–273 (1986)
7. A. Carusi, L. Kresák, E. Perozzi, G.B. Valsecchi, High-order librations of Halley-type comets. Astron. Astrophys. 187, 899–905 (1987)
8. F.J. Ciesla, J.N. Cuzzi, The evolution of the water distribution in a viscous protoplanetary disk. Icarus 181, 178–204 (2006)
9. S.S. Davis, Condensation front migration in a protoplanetary nebula. Astrophys. J. 620, 994–1001 (2005)
10. A.H. Delsemme, Origin of the short-period comets. Astron. Astrophys. 29, 377–381 (1973)

11. R.P. Di Sisto, J.A. Fernández, A. Brunini, On the population, physical decay and orbital distribution of Jupiter family comets: Numerical simulations. Icarus 203, 140–154 (2009)
12. L. Dones, P.R. Weissman, H.F. Levison, M.J. Duncan, Oort cloud formation and dynamics. In Comets II, ed. by M.C. Festou, H.U. Keller, H.A. Weaver (Univ. Arizona Press, Tucson, 2004), pp. 153–174
13. M.J. Duncan, H.F. Levison, A disk of scattered icy objects and the origin of Jupiter-family comets. Science 276, 1670–1672 (1997)
14. M. Duncan, T. Quinn, S. Tremaine, The formation and extent of the solar system comet cloud. Astron. J. 94, 1330–1338 (1987)
15. M. Duncan, T. Quinn, S. Tremaine, The origin of short-period comets. Astrophys. J. 328, L69–L73 (1988)
16. M.J. Duncan, H.F. Levison, S.M. Budd, The dynamical structure of the Kuiper Belt. Astron. J. 110, 3073–3081 (1995)
17. P.A. Dybczyński, G. Leto, M. Jakubík, T. Paulech, L. Neslušan, The simulation of the outer Oort cloud formation. The first giga-year of the evolution. Astron. Astrophys. 487, 345–355 (2008)
18. V.V. Emel'yanenko, An explicit symplectic integrator for cometary orbits. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 84, 331–341 (2002)
19. V.V. Emel'yanenko, Structure and dynamics of the Centaur population: constraints on the origin of short-period comets. Earth Moon Plan. 97, 341–351 (2005)
20. V.V. Emel'yanenko, A method of symplectic integrations with adaptive time-steps for individual Hamiltonians in the planetary N-body problem. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 98, 191–202 (2007)
21. V.V. Emel'yanenko, M.E. Bailey, Capture of Halley-type comets from the near-parabolic flux. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 298, 212–222 (1998)
22. V.V. Emel'yanenko, D.J. Asher, M.E. Bailey, A new class of trans-Neptunian objects in high-eccentricity orbits. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 338, 443–451 (2003)
23. V.V. Emel'yanenko, D.J. Asher, M.E. Bailey, High-eccentricity trans-Neptunian objects as a source of Jupiter-family comets. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 350, 161–166 (2004)
24. V.V. Emel'yanenko, D.J. Asher, M.E. Bailey, Centaurs from the Oort cloud and the origin of Jupiter-family comets. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 361, 1345–1351 (2005)
25. V.V. Emel'yanenko, D.J. Asher, M.E. Bailey, The fundamental role of the Oort cloud in determining the flux of comets through the planetary system. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 381, 779–789 (2007)
26. E. Everhart, Comet discoveries and observational selection. Astron. J. 72, 716–726 (1967)
27. J.A. Fernández, On the existence of a comet belt beyond Neptune. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 192, 481–491 (1980)
28. J.A. Fernández, Dynamical aspects of the origin of comets. Astron. J. 87, 1318–1332 (1982)
29. J.A. Fernández, The formation of the Oort cloud and the primitive galactic environment. Icarus 129, 106–119 (1997)
30. J.A. Fernández, A. Brunini, The buildup of a tightly bound comet cloud around an early Sun immersed in a dense galactic environment: Numerical experiments. Icarus 145, 580–590 (2000)
31. J.A. Fernández, T. Gallardo, The transfer of comets from parabolic orbits to short-period orbits: numerical studies. Astron. Astrophys. 281, 911–922 (1994)
32. J.A. Fernández, T. Gallardo, From the Oort cloud to Halley-type comets. In Evolution and Source Regions of Asteroids and Comets, Proc. IAU Colloq. 173, ed. by J. Svoreň, E.M. Pittich, H. Rickman (Astron. Inst. Slovak Acad. Sci., Tatranská Lomnica, 1999), pp. 327–338
33. J.A. Fernández, A. Sosa, Magnitude and size distribution of long-period comets in Earth-crossing or approaching orbits. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 423, 1674–1690 (2012)
34. J.A. Fernández, G. Tancredi, H. Rickman, J. Licandro, The population, magnitudes, and sizes of Jupiter family comets. Astron. Astrophys. 352, 327–340 (1999)
35. J.A. Fernández, T. Gallardo, A. Brunini, The scattered disk population as a source of Oort cloud comets: evaluation of its current and past role in populating the Oort cloud. Icarus 172, 372–381 (2004)
36. P.J. Francis, The demographics of long-period comets. Astrophys. J. 635, 1348–1361 (2005)
37. C.I. Fuentes, M.J. Holman, A Subaru archival search for faint trans-Neptunian objects. Astron. J. 136, 83–97 (2008)
38. P. Garaud, D.N.C. Lin, The effect of internal dissipation and surface irradiation on the structure of disks and the location of the snow line around Sun-like stars. Astrophys. J. 654, 606–624 (2007)
39. B. Gladman, Nomenclature in Kuiper belt. In Highlights of Astronomy 12, ed. by H. Rickman (Astron. Soc. Pac., 2002), pp. 193–198
40. B. Gladman, B.G. Marsden, G. VanLaerhoven, Nomenclature in the outer solar system. In The Solar System Beyond Neptune, ed. by M.A. Barucci, H. Boehnhardt, D.P. Cruikshank, A. Morbidelli (Univ. Arizona Press, Tucson, 2008), pp. 43–57
41. R.S. Gomes, The origin of the Kuiper belt high-inclination population. Icarus 161, 404–418 (2003)
42. J.M. Hahn, R. Malhotra, Orbital evolution of planets embedded in a planetesimal disk. Astron. J. 117, 3041–3053 (1999)
43. J. Heisler, Monte Carlo simulations of the Oort comet cloud. Icarus 88, 104–121 (1990)
44. J. Heisler, S. Tremaine, C. Alcock, The frequency and intensity of comet showers from the Oort cloud. Icarus 70, 269–288 (1987)
45. J.G. Hills, Comet showers and the steady-state infall of comets from the Oort cloud. Astron. J. 86, 1730–1740 (1981)
46. J. Horner, N.W. Evans, M.E. Bailey, D.J. Asher, The populations of comet-like bodies in the Solar system. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 343, 1057–1066 (2003)
47. J. Horner, N.W. Evans, M.E. Bailey, Simulations of the population of Centaurs – I. The bulk statistics. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 354, 798–810 (2004a)
48. J. Horner, N.W. Evans, M.E. Bailey, Simulations of the population of Centaurs – II. Individual objects. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 355, 321–329 (2004b)
49. D.C. Jewitt, From cradle to grave: the rise and demise of the comets. In Comets II, ed. by M.C. Festou, H.U. Keller, H.A. Weaver (Univ. Arizona Press, Tucson, 2004), pp. 659–676
50. D. Jewitt, The active Centaurs. Astron. J. 137, 4296–4312 (2009)
51. D. Jewitt, J. Luu, Discovery of the candidate Kuiper belt object 1992 QB_1. Nature 362, 730–732 (1993)
52. P.C. Joss, On the origin of short-period comets. Astron. Astrophys. 25, 271–273 (1973)
53. E.I. Kazimirchak-Polonskaya, The major planets as powerful transformers of cometary orbits. In The Motion, Evolution of Orbits, and Origin of Comets, Proc. IAU Symp. 45, ed. by G.A. Chebotarev, E.I. Kazimirchak-Polonskaya, B.G. Marsden (Reidel, Dordrecht, 1972), pp. 373–397

54. P.L. Lamy, I. Toth, Y.R. Fernández, H.A. Weaver, Oort cloud formation and dynamics. In Comets II, ed. by M.C. Festou, H.U. Keller, H.A. Weaver (Univ. Arizona Press, Tucson, 2004), pp. 223–264
55. G. Leto, M. Jakubík, T. Paulech, L. Neslušan, P.A. Dybczyński, The structure of the inner Oort cloud from the simulation of its formation for 2 Gyr. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 391, 1350–1358 (2008)
56. H.F. Levison, M.J. Duncan, From the Kuiper Belt to Jupiter-family comets: the spatial distribution of ecliptic comets. Icarus 127, 13–32 (1997)
57. H.F. Levison, L. Dones, M.J. Duncan, The origin of Halley-type comets: probing the inner Oort cloud. Astron. J. 121, 2253–2267 (2001)
58. H.F. Levison, M.J. Duncan, L. Dones, B.J. Gladman, The scattered disk as a source of Halley-type comets. Icarus 184, 619–633 (2006)
59. H.F. Levison, M.J. Duncan, R. Brasser, D.E. Kaufmann, Capture of the Sun's Oort cloud from stars in its birth cluster. Science 329, 187–190 (2010)
60. J. Luu, B.G. Marsden, D. Jewitt, C.A. Trujillo, C.W. Hergenrother, J. Chen, W.B. Offutt, A new dynamical class of object in the outer Solar System. Nature 387, 573–575 (1997)
61. B.G. Marsden, G.V. Williams, Catalogue of Cometary Orbits 2008. (Smithson. Astrophys. Obs., Cambridge, MA, 2008)
62. R.G. Martin, M. Livio, On the evolution of the snow line in protoplanetary discs. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 425, L6–L9 (2012)
63. M.D. Melita, J. Licandro, Links between the dynamical evolution and the surface color of the Centaurs. Astron. Astrophys. 539, A144:1–6 (2012)
64. A. Morbidelli, Chaotic diffusion and the origin of comets from the 2/3 resonance in the Kuiper belt. Icarus 127, 1–12 (1997)
65. A. Morbidelli, M.E. Brown, The Kuiper belt and the primordial evolution of the solar system. In Comets II, ed. by M.C. Festou, H.U. Keller, H.A. Weaver (Univ. Arizona Press, Tucson, 2004), pp. 175–191
66. A. Morbidelli, H.F. Levison, W.F. Bottke, L. Dones, D. Nesvorný, Considerations on the magnitude distributions of the Kuiper belt and of the Jupiter Trojans. Icarus 202, 310–315 (2009)
67. A. Oka, T. Nakamoto, S. Ida, Evolution of snow line in optically thick protoplanetary disks: effects of water ice opacity and dust grain size. Astrophys. J. 738, 141:1–11 (2011)
68. N. Peixinho, A. Doressoundiram, A. Delsanti, H. Boehnhardt, M.A. Barucci, I. Belskaya, Reopening the TNOs color controversy: Centaurs bimodality and TNOs unimodality. Astron. Astrophys. 410, L29–L32 (2003)
69. N. Peixinho, A. Delsanti, A. Guilbert-Lepoutre, R. Gafeira, P. Lacerda, The bimodal colors of Centaurs and small Kuiper belt objects. Astron. Astrophys. 546, A86:1–12 (2012)
70. T. Quinn, S. Tremaine, M. Duncan, Planetary perturbations and the origin of short-period comets. Astrophys. J. 355, 667–679 (1990)
71. H. Rickman, M. Fouchard, C. Froeschlé, G.B. Valsecchi, Injection of Oort Cloud comets: the fundamental role of stellar perturbations. Celest. Mech. Dyn. Astron. 102, 111–132 (2008)
72. Sh.G. Sharaf, N.A. Budnikova, Secular variations of elements of the Earth's orbit which influences the climates of the geological past. Bull. Inst. Teor. Astron. Akad. Nauk SSSR 11, 231–261 (1967)
73. C. Snodgrass, A. Fitzsimmons, S.C. Lowry, P. Weissman, The size distribution of Jupiter Family comet nuclei. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 414, 458–469 (2011)
74. A. Sosa, J.A. Fernández, Masses of long-period comets derived from non-gravitational effects – analysis of the computed results and the consistency and reliability of the non-gravitational parameters. Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 416, 767–782 (2011)
75. S.A. Stern, Collisional time scales in the Kuiper disk and their implications. Astron. J. 110, 856–868 (1995)
76. S.A. Stern, On the collisional environment, accretion time scales, and architecture of the massive, primordial Kuiper belt. Astron. J. 112, 1203–1211 (1996)
77. A. Stern, H. Campins, Chiron and the Centaurs: escapees from the Kuiper belt. Nature 382, 507–510 (1996)
78. S.C. Tegler, J.M. Bauer, W. Romanishin, N. Peixinho, Colors of Centaurs. In The Solar System Beyond Neptune, ed. by M.A. Barucci, H. Boehnhardt, D.P. Cruikshank, A. Morbidelli (Univ. Arizona Press, Tucson, 2008), pp. 105–114
79. M.S. Tiscareno, R. Malhotra, The dynamics of known Centaurs. Astron. J. 126, 3122–3131 (2003)
80. M.S. Tiscareno, R. Malhotra, Chaotic diffusion of resonant Kuiper belt objects. Astron. J. 138, 827–837 (2009)
81. M.V. Torbett, Chaotic motion in a comet disk beyond Neptune: the delivery of short-period comets. Astron. J. 98, 1477–1481 (1989)
82. M.V. Torbett, R. Smoluchowski, Chaotic motion in a primordial comet disk beyond Neptune and comet influx to the Solar system. Nature 345, 49–51 (1990)
83. C.A. Trujillo, D.C. Jewitt, J.X. Luu, Population of the scattered Kuiper belt. Astrophys. J. 529, L103–L106 (2000)
84. K. Tsiganis, R. Gomes, A. Morbidelli, H.F. Levison, Origin of the orbital architecture of the giant planets of the Solar System. Nature 435, 459–461 (2005)
85. K. Volk, R. Malhotra, The scattered disk as the source of the Jupiter family comets. Astrophys. J. 687, 714–725 (2008)
86. P.R. Weissman, The Oort cloud. In Completing the Inventory of the Solar System. ASP Conf. Ser. 107, ed. by T.W. Rettig, J.M. Hahn (Astron. Soc. Pac., San Francisco, 1996), pp. 265–288
87. F.L. Whipple, A comet model. I. The acceleration of Comet Encke. Astrophys. J. 111, 375–394 (1950)
88. P. Wiegert, S. Tremaine, The evolution of long-period comets. Icarus 137, 84–121 (1999)